

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,092	02/16/2001	Iwao Miyajima	AKI-C052	2721
30132	7590 03/11/2002			
GEORGE A. LOUD 3137 MOUNT VERNON AVENUE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22305		EXAMINER		
			DEPUMPO,	DEPUMPO, DANIEL G
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/763,092

Miyajima

→ Office Action Summary

Examiner

__,Daniel G. DePumpo

Art Unit 3611

Application/Control Number: 09/763,092

Art Unit: 3611

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-12, drawn to a swing arm.

Group II, claim(s) 13-22, drawn to a method for producing a swing arm.

- The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature of the method lies in the step of "foaming said raw". This is not a required feature of the swing arm. Therefore, the inventions lack the same or corresponding special technical features.
- This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- i figs. 6-11
- ii figs. 12-17
- iii fig 21.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,092 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: In each of the species, the special technical feature lies in the procedure for filling. Since this feature differs in each of the species listed above, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

dgd

February 28, 2002

DANIEL G. DePUMPO PRIMARY EXAMINER